



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,968	01/26/2001	Katsushi Sato	450100-02952	5245	
20999	7590 02/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G		
NEW YORK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173	*	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/769,968	SATO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dennis G. Bonshock	2173			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE I - External after - If the If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 October 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notion (3) Information (3)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date					

Application/Control Number: 09/769,968 Page 2

Art Unit: 2173

Final Rejection

Response to Amendment

- 1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed on record in the file: Amendment as received on 10-15-2004.
- 2. Claims 1-25 have been examined.

Status of Claims:

- 3. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba et al., Patent # 6,392,669, hereinafter Matoba and Pietropaolo el al., Patent # 6,351,765, hereinafter Pietropaolo.
- 4. Claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Protheroe et al., Patent # 6,414,686, hereinafter Protheroe.
- 5. Claims 6, 12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Crow et al., Patent # 6,538,665, hereinafter Crow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba et al., Patent # 6,392,669, hereinafter Matoba and Pietropaolo el al., Patent # 6,351,765, hereinafter Pietropaolo.

Art Unit: 2173

7. With regard to claims 1, 7, and 13, Matoba teaches a reservation registration apparatus, method, and storage medium (see column 2, line 41), that combines a reservation subject icon (see column 3, line 41), a means for recording the start time of a piece of media (see column 3, line 28), and elements being controlled by defined start times in the timeline (see column 3, lines 28-41 and figure 6). Matoba however doesn't teach a time based display area, where in when an icon is moved into the display area. the display area displays the corresponding time division, and a selection means for receiving input media for the arbitrary reservation subject, and input media having at least one component, said selection means operating to select and mix formats of the at least one component of the media. Pietropaolo teaches a media editing system similar to that of Matoba, but also teaches the use of a time based display area (see column 11, line 55), the functionality of being able to move icons into this display area (see figure 9 and column 11, line 52), a receiving of input media form the reservation subject, the input having at least one component (video) (see column 1, lines 5-12, and column 2, lines 4-10), the system receiving media of different formats (see column 1, lines 5-12 and column 2, lines 4-10 and lines 35-43) and controlling the beginning and ending times based on the users placement of the media in the timeline (see column 11, line 52 through column 12, line 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Matoba and Pietropaolo before him at the time the invention was made to modify the schedule management system of Matoba to include the time based display and the functionality of dragging icons into the display area, the interface for receiving media components, possibly of different formats of Pietropaolo. One

Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

would have been motivated to make such a combination because the use of a time based display for importing icons provides the user with a simple means to import media in one of a plurality formats where they can keep track of when the specific media will be played.

- 8. With regard to claims 2, 8, and 14, Matoba further teaches the said recorded media being program executable (see column 7, line 30).
- 9. With regard to claims 3, 9, and 15, Matoba further teaches the detection of the first end, corresponding to a program starting time and the second end, corresponding to a program ending time (see figure 1 and column 3, line 28), and reservation being preformed based on these values (see column 3, line 35).
- 10. With regard to claim 19, which teaches the at least one component being an audio component, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 2, lines 4-10, the media editor being an video and/or audio editor.
- 11. With regard to claim 20, which teaches the at least one component being an video component, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 2, lines 4-10, the media editor being an video and/or audio editor.
- 12. With regard to claim 21, which teaches the formats of the at least one component includes an analog format, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 1, lines 5-12, the system receiving both analog and digital video.
- 13. With regard to claim 22, which teaches the formats of the at least one component includes an digital format, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 1, lines 5-12, the system receiving both analog and digital video.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,968

Art Unit: 2173

14. With regard to claim 23, which teaches the formats of the at least one component includes an optical format, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 1, lines 5-12, the system receiving both analog and digital video, which could obviously have come from a optical source.

Page 5

- 15. With regard to claim 24, which teaches the reservation subject playing a disc drive, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 6, lines 30-37 and in figures 3 and 4, the user importing audio and video information via an import screen which is capable of accessing media both locally and remotely over a network, where figure 3 shows a computer tower having a disc drive.
- 16. With regard to claim 25, which teaches the reservation subject receiving a signal from a radio, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 6, lines 30-37 and in figures 3 and 4, the user importing audio and video information via an import screen which is capable of accessing media both locally and remotely over a network, where receiving audio information via a radio signal it obvious.
- 17. Claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Protheroe et al., Patent # 6,414,686, hereinafter Protheroe. Matoba and Pietropaolo teach the schedule management system as rejected above in claims 1-3, 7-9, and 13-15. They however fail to teach the ability to move whole reservations around on the display screen, or to move one end of a reservation (clipping). Protheroe teaches a multimedia editing system similar to that of Matoba and Pietropaolo, but further teaches the ability to move whole reservations around on the display screen (see column 6, line 40), and she also teaches the process

Application/Control Number: 09/769,968

Art Unit: 2173

69,968 Page 6

of clipping (see column 6, line 43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Protheroe before him at the time the invention was made to modify the scheduling management system of Matoba and Pietropaolo to include the said editing functionality of Protheroe. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because importing and exporting a piece of media anytime you need to change it's location or properties would be superfluous.

18. Claims 6, 12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Crow et al., Patent # 6,538,665, hereinafter Crow.

Matoba and Pietropaolo teach the schedule management system as rejected above in claims 1-3, 7-9, and 13-15. They however fail to teach the ability to drag media into a trash bin for deletion. Crow teaches a media presentation scheme similar to that of Matoba and Pietropaolo, but further teaches the ability to drag pieces of media into a trash bin (see column 9, line 50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Crow before him at the time the invention was made to modify the schedule management system of Matoba and Pietropaolo to include the trash removal system of Crow. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because this form of deleting items has become a standard interface in most operating systems today.

Response to Arguments

19. The arguments filed on 10-15-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Reasons set forth below.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,968 Page 7

Art Unit: 2173

20. The applicants' argue that Pietropaolo doesn't refer to receiving of media components that are similar to the input media for the arbitrary reservation subject recited in claim 1.

- 21. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that Pietropaolo teaches, in column 2, lines 25-32, receiving media from both audio and video formats to serve as the subject in the editing process.
- 22. The applicants' argue that Pietropaolo fails to teach or suggest mixing formats.
- 23. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that Pietropaolo teaches, in column 1, lines 5-12, column 2, lines 4-10, and in figure 7, a media editor using video and audio clips to provide a time sequenced compilation of audio/video information.
- 24. The applicants' argue that Matoba and Pietropaolo, in combination or individually, fail to teach or suggest having a display controlling means for displaying a plurality of reservation subject icons and a time base display area of a calendar for performing the reservation registration; a controlling means for performing the reservation registration; a selection means for receiving input media for the arbitrary reservation subject; and a process controlling means for controlling the performance of the reservation subject according to the start time of the reservation time.
- 25. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that Pietropaolo teaches a media editing system similar to that of Matoba, but also teaches the use of a time based display area (see column 11, line 55), the functionality of being able to move icons into this display area (performing a reservation) (see figure 9 and column 11, line 52), a receiving of input media form the reservation subject, the input having at least one

component (video) (see column 1, lines 5-12, and column 2, lines 4-10), the system receiving media of different formats (audio and video in analog or digital form) (see column 1, lines 5-12 and column 2, lines 4-10 and lines 35-43) and controlling the beginning and ending times based on the users placement of the media in the timeline (see column 11, line 52 through column 12, line 21).

Conclusion

- 26. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 27. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis G. Bonshock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 6:30 a.m. 4:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,968

Art Unit: 2173

29. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

30. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2-8**-**05 dgb

> RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2172

Page 9